



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,137	11/15/1999	HIROYUKI TAKEUCHI	1232-19	2582
23117	7590	12/23/2003		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			EXAMINER PADEN, CAROLYN A	
			ART UNIT 1761	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary</b>	Control No. 09/440,137	Patent Under Reexamination TAKEUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Carolyn A Paden	Art Unit 1761	

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

- (1) Carolyn A Paden (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Art Crawford (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12-16-03

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☐ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  
 Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: All generally

Identification of prior art discussed: All relied upon

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant presented proposed amendments to the claims which eliminated features of the claims. Examiner suggested  
 (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  
that such amendments to the claims are more suitable for a written response than for a telephone interview  
 A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN **ONE MONTH** FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**

Application to be reconsidered on filing a formal response

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

Examiner's signature, if required